

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	· CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,848	07/23/2003	Hiroyasu Yamamoto	738-X03-005	1886
27317	7590 10/26/2006		EXAMINER	
FLEIT KAIN GIBBONS GUTMAN BONGINI & BIANCO			NGO, CHUONG D	
21355 EAST DIXIE HIGHWAY SUITE 115		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MIAMI, FL	33180		2193	
			DATE MAILED: 10/26/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/626,848	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Chuong D. Ngo	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•	•	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 </u>	luly 2003		
	s action is non-final.	·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application	 n		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-52 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application Papers	ologion roquiroment.		
	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ats have been received	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		nlication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· —	
	•	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea		and the desired	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the centified copies not i	eceivea.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application	

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Invention I, claims 1-41, drawn to a random number generator based on the phase difference between the two input signals to a flip-flop, classified in class 708, subclass 251.

Invention II, claims 42-52, drawn to a circuit for verifying the output from a random number generator, classified in class 702, subclass 181. Invention II further contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1, claims 42,43 and 49-52, drawn to a circuit for verifying the output from a random number generator corresponding to figures 55 and 56.

Species 2, claims 44-46, drawn to a circuit for verifying the output from a random number generator corresponding to figures 57-59.

Species 3, claims 47 and 48, drawn to a circuit for verifying the output from a random number generator corresponding to figure 60.

2. The inventions I and II are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination (invention I) does not require the particular circuit for verifying the output from a random number generator of the subcombination (invention II) as claimed for

Art Unit: 2193

patentability because claims to both the combination and subcombination are presented and assumed to be patentable. The omission of the specific details of the circuit for verifying the output from a random number generator of the subcombination in the independent claims of the combination is an evidence that the combination does not rely on the details of the specific subcombination (II), for patentability. In addition, the subcombination (I) clearly has a separate utility as to verify randomness. Therefore, the inventions I and II are clearly distinct, and because they acquired a separate status in the art as their different classification, and the search require of Group I does not required for Group II, the restriction for examination purposes as indicated is thus proper.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. In the event that Invention II is elected, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 2193

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuong D. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3731. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

Art Unit: 2193

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chuong D Ngo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2193

Plesty

10/19/2006